

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,978	09/05/2006	Kaneo Chiba	B-6122PCT 623712-6	9335
36716 7590 062242009 LADAS & PARRY S670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 2100 LOS ANGELES, CA 90036-5679			EXAMINER	
			CHOL, FRANK I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/591.978 CHIBA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit FRANK I. CHOI 1616 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 05 September 2006 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,978

Art Unit: 1616

### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Objections

Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would appear to be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 03/022736 in view of JP 2002-307053 and JP 60-122337.

WO 03/0227356 discloses that the merit of water discharge in the product of an ozonated water is that production and dissolution of the ozone take place at the same time (page 4, lines 10-13). It is disclosed that about 2 liters of an ozonated water with 6 mg/l concentration was produced (page 4, lines 15,16). It is disclosed that fine bubble can be produced by a bubble generator (page 9, lines 13-30). An apparatus is disclosed where the water discharge system has two electrodes insulated with at least one dielectric and an insulator body surrounding the metal electrodes, the water discharge system producing through a dielectric barrier discharge the ozone and ozonated water (Claim 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/591,978

Art Unit: 1616

JP 2002-307053 disclose the use of ultrasonic vibrations to effect the ozone bubbles (claims, paragraph 0026).

JP 60-122337 disclose the use of a rotation shaft with a screw blade which has thin holes to effect the ozone bubbles (claims, page 3, line 8 to page 4, line 16).

WO 03/0227356 disclose the production of ozone bubbles and ozonated water where the a dielectric barrier is used to effect the ozone bubbles. The difference between WO 03/0227356 and the claimed invention is that WO 03/0227356 does not expressly disclose the use of ultrasonic vibrations or circulating screwblades which have holes to effect the bubbles. However, the prior art amply suggests the same as JP 2002-307053 disclose the use of ultrasonic vibrations to effect the ozone bubbles and JP 60-122337 disclose the use of a rotation shaft with a screw blade which has thin holes to effect the ozone bubbles. As such, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the prior art as above with the expectation by use of the same that there would be increased dissolution of the ozone bubbles into the water.

Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because every element of the invention has been collectively taught by the combined teachings of the references.

#### Conclusion

A facsimile center has been established in Technology Center 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Choi whose telephone number is (571)272-0610. Examiner maintains a compressed schedule and may be reached Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday, 6:00 am – 4:30 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Johann R. Richter, can be reached at (571)272-0646. Additionally, Technology Center 1600's Receptionist and Customer Service can be reached at (571) 272-1600.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,978 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (foll-free).

Frank Choi Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 June 24, 2009

/Johann R. Richter/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616